

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/613,387 07/11/2000 Mark E. Valenti 1188  T590 12/19/2002  Christopher B Kilner Esq Roberts Abokhair & Mardula LLC 11800 Sunrise Valley Drive Suite 1000 Reston, VA 20191-5302  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2155 DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002				· -	
09/613,387 07/11/2000 Mark E. Valenti 1188  7590 12/19/2002  Christopher B Kilner Esq Roberts Abokhair & Mardula LLC 11800 Sunrise Valley Drive Suite 1000 Reston, VA 20191-5302  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2155	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
Christopher B Kilner Esq Roberts Abokhair & Mardula LLC 11800 Sunrise Valley Drive Suite 1000 Reston, VA 20191-5302  RESTOR TRAN, PHILIP B  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2155	09/613,387	07/11/2000	Mark E. Valenti		
Roberts Abokhair & Mardula LLC 11800 Sunrise Valley Drive Suite 1000 Reston, VA 20191-5302  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2155		12/17/2002			
11800 Sunrise Valley Drive Suite 1000 Reston, VA 20191-5302  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2155	Christopher B Kilner Esq Roberts Abokhair & Mardula LLC			EXAMINER	
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2155	11800 Sunrise	Valley Drive Suite 1000		TRAN, PHILIP B	
10	reston, vit 20	7171-3302		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2155	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No. **09/613.387** 

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Valenti

Philip B. Tran 2155

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Philip B. Tran - Patent Examiner (2) Christopher Kilner - Applicant's Representative Date of Interview Dec 18, 2002 Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \( \subseteq \text{Yes} \) e) No. If yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 5 Identification of prior art discussed: Frauenhofer et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,236,991) Agreement with respect to the claims  $f)\square$  was reached.  $g)\boxtimes$  was not reached.  $h)\square$  N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed about several issues including: 1- What information and where such information are collected and categorized before transmitting to the end-users; 2- The information that are collected and categorized not only comprises content but also network address such as URL. 3- One server could be performing several tasks and a plurality of connected servers could be acted as one node. Applicant is advised to disclose the novelty of the invention and amend the claims to make them being clearly distinguished from the cited prior art. Applicant will file a formal amendment and the examiner will consider it. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required